Date(s) of Assessment:	Project:
Assessor(s):	Process Assessed:

			1	
		Y, N,	F, O	Comments
		NA		
PROC	CESS ASSESSMENT PREPARATION			
1	Do standards and guidelines exist that			
	clearly define the process?			
2	Has the project submitted any request for			
	deviations or waivers to current standards or			
	guidelines?			
4	Have entrance and exit criteria been			
	established for the process assessment?			
5	Are processes documented and under			
	configuration control?			
6	Was documentation required for the			
	implementation of this process made			
	available to the participants with ample time			
	to review and prepare?			
7	Is there evidence that all			
	stakeholders/participants were involved in			
	the implementation of the process?			
8	Have all parties involved in the			
	implementation of the assessed process			
	received training on the process?			
9	Were there any constraints/limitations			
	associated with the implementation of the			
	process identified?			
UNIT TEST CRITERIA\COMPLIANCE				
10	Were the objectives of the unit test			
	established:			
10a	The strategies to be employed			
10b	The coverage requirements,			
10c	Reporting and analysis,			
10d	Close-out of anomalies?			

Revision: 1.0 Page 1 of 4

		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments
11	Has the unit test been designed to be a test that executes all of the code in the unit?			
	Tip: Is there evidence that the unit test executed every statement in the unit, including all branches of conditional statements?			
12	Does the unit test satisfy the requirement for full path coverage and boundary value testing?			
13	Is there sufficient documentation on the unit test to make it clear what is being tested and the general test approach?			
14	Has it been confirmed that anomalies during unit test are software anomalies, and not problems detected for other reasons?			
15	Have comments in the source code been paired with comments in the unit test code to verify that all conditional branches have been tested and paths have been covered?			
16	Was each conditional branch in the unit executed?			
17	Were all operations that might cause erroneous execution (i.e., divide by zero, taking square root of negative number, etc.) proved impossible?			
18	Were all parameters and inputs to subprograms tested with nominal values and with values at the extremes by the algorithm, compiler, and CPU?			
19	Were changes to the module source code required to run unit test?			
20	Is there documentation regarding the test environment the unit was tested on?			
21	Is the unit test repeatable, and will identical results be produced?			
22	Can the unit test be run automatically without user interaction?			

Revision: 1.0 Page 2 of 4

		Y, N, NA	F, O	Comments	
23	Have the data files used by the unit test been treated as source code for the purpose of Configuration Management?	1111			
24	Do distinct elements of input vectors and matrices have distinct values for the purpose of catching indexing errors?				
25	Do inputs have distinct values?				
	(If input's order to an operation matter, the input's should have distinct values to catch order errors.)				
POST	ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES				
26	Are unit tests and test results stored in the software development folders or other artifact files?				
27	At the conclusion of the assessment, is an understanding reached between development, test, system engineering, QA, & CM on the validity and degree of completeness of the Unit Test process?				
28	Did all designated parties concur in the acceptability of the Unit Test process (i.e., was there a legitimate reason to deviate from the process)?				
29	Have all artifacts been placed under formal configuration control (e.g., unit test results, unit test logs)?				
30	Were Lessons Learned addressed and captured?				
	REFERENCE ITEMS/DOCUMENTS				
002	Unit Test Standard, Flight Software Branch-			,	
Frame	nal Institute of Standards and Technology (Na ework for the Development and Assurance of	_			
Mil-Si	td-498 DID				

Revision: 1.0 Page 3 of 4

NPR 7150.2, NASA Software Engineering Requirements (SWE-062)

Date(s) of Assessment:				
Asses	Assessor(s): Process Assessed:			
COM	COMMENTS PAGE of			
#	Comments from assessment			

Revision: 1.0 Page 4 of 4